Coupling LIT and CC methods: towards continuum spectra of "not-so-few"-body systems

> **Giuseppina Orlandini** Dipartimento di Fisica - Universita' di Trento - Italy

#### **Summary:**

- Motivation
- Outline of LIT and CC methods and their coupling
- Validation on <sup>4</sup>He photodisintegration cross section
- Results on the Giant Dipole Resonance of <sup>16</sup>O and more

## Work done in collaboration with

Sonia Bacca (TRIUMF)
Nir Barnea (Jerusalem)
Gaute Hagen (ORNL)
Thomas Papenbrock (ORNL)
Mirko Miorelli (Trento)

# Motivations

- Continuum spectra of "more than 3"- body systems is a great challenge for theory. On the other hand...
- for increasing N some spectra show very interesting structures which have simple physical interpretations (collective modes ??)
- The interesting question is:

is an N-N realistic potential, as only ingredient of an ab initio approach, able to reproduce such structures ??

#### A famous example: the so called Giant Dipole Resonance (GDR)

It is a pronounced low energy structure exhibited by the total photoabsorption cross section in the continuum all over the nuclear table !!!



Historically the GDR has been interpreted as an harmonic collective motion of protons against neutrons





Gamow - Teller model 1946 Steinwedel - Jensen model 1959

In the following decades various many-body theories (RPA and various improvements) based on *effective interactions* (Skyrme and similar) have tried to account for the GDR

The question is wether **ab initio** approaches in terms of **N-N realistic potentials** are able to reproduce it

The GDR is in the continuum part of the spectrum

The Lorentz Integral Transform (LIT) method reduces the continuum problem to a bound state-like problem

Up to now the LIT bound-state-like equations have been formulated and solved within the FY (A=3), HH/EIHH (A=4,6,7), NCSM (A=4)

A good ab initio method for bound state properties of larger A systems is the Coupled-Cluster (CC)

we can try to formulate the LIT bound-state-like equations within the CC method

.  $\sigma(E_{\gamma}) = 4 \pi^2 \alpha \sum_{n \leq n \leq n} |c n| D |0 > |^2 \delta(E_{\gamma} - E_n + E_0)$ 



 $\sigma(E_{\gamma}) = 4 \pi^{2} \alpha \sum_{n} |< n | D | 0 > |^{2} \delta(E_{\gamma} - E_{n} + E_{0})$ 

**L**(ω<sub>0</sub>, Γ) =  $\int d E_{\gamma} [(E_{\gamma} - ω_{0})^{2} + \Gamma^{2}]^{-1} \sigma(E_{\gamma})$ 

Theorem:

 $\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \langle \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}} | \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \rangle \quad (\langle \boldsymbol{\infty} \rangle)$ where  $[\mathbf{H} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} - \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}] | \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \rangle = \mathbf{D} | \mathbf{0} \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \int \mathsf{d} \mathbf{E}_{\gamma} [(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0})^{2} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{2}]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma})$ 

Theorem:

 $\mathbf{L}(\omega_{0}, \Gamma) = \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{\psi}} | \widetilde{\mathbf{\psi}} \rangle \quad (\langle \mathbf{\infty})$ where  $\left[ H - \omega_{0} - i \Gamma \right] | \widetilde{\mathbf{\psi}} \rangle = \mathbf{D} | 0 \rangle$ Bound state like equation!





E |0> = H |0>

E |0> = H |0>.  $e^{-T}E |0> = e^{-T}H |0>$ 

E |0> = H |0> $e^{-T}E |0> = e^{-T}H |0> = e^{-T}H e^{T} e^{-T}|0>$ 

E |0> = H |0>

Similarity Transf. ANSATZ!

|SD>

 $e^{-T} E |0\rangle = e^{-T} H |0\rangle = e^{-T} H e^{T} (e^{-T} |0\rangle)$ 









To find  $T^{[k]}$  one needs k conditions:



 $0 = \langle kp - kh | E | SD \rangle = \langle kp - kh | H | SD \rangle$ 





 $0 = \langle 3p - 3h | E | SD \rangle = \langle 3p - 3h | H | SD \rangle$ 

#### LIT+CC:



Writing the Lorentzian kernel [(  $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0})^{2} + \Gamma^{2}$ ]<sup>-1</sup>as a product [  $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} - \iota \Gamma$ ]<sup>-1</sup> \* [  $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} + \iota \Gamma$ ]<sup>-1</sup>

And using the delta function in the integral and completeness of eigenstates (|n><n|=1):

 $\mathbf{L}(\omega_{0}, \Gamma) = \langle \mathbf{0} | \mathbf{D} [ \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma ] \rightarrow \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_{0} - \omega_{0} + \iota \Gamma ]^{-1} \mathbf{D} | \mathbf{0} \rangle$  $= \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{\Psi}} | \widetilde{\mathbf{\Psi}} \rangle$ 

Now use the similarity transformations inserting  $e^{T}e^{-T}=1$ 



 $\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) =$ 

 $= < 0 | \mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{D} [ \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\iota} \Gamma ]^{-1} [ \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\iota} \Gamma ]^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{e}^{-T} | 0 >$ 

 $\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) =$ 

 $= < 0 | \mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{D} [ \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\iota} \Gamma ]^{-1} [ \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\iota} \Gamma ]^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{e}^{-T} | 0 >$ Similarity Transf. ANSATZ!:  $\mathbf{e}^{-T} | 0 > = | SD >$   $\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) =$ 

 $= < 0 | e^{T} D [ H - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma ]^{-1} [ H - E_{0} - \omega_{0} + \iota \Gamma ]^{-1} D | SD >$ Similarity Transf. ANSATZ:  $e^{-T} | 0 > = | SD >$ 

 $< 0 | e^T \neq < SD | !!$ 

H and D are non hermitian operators and one has | SDR > and < SDL |

 $\mathbf{L} (\omega_0, \Gamma) = \langle \mathbf{\Phi} \mid \mathbf{\widetilde{\psi}} \rangle$   $= \langle \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_0 - \omega_0 - \iota \Gamma \rceil^{-1} \mid \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}_0 - \omega_0 + \iota \Gamma \rceil^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{SD} \rangle$ Similarity Transf. ANSATZI:  $\mathbf{e}^{-T} \mid \mathbf{0} \rangle = |\mathbf{SD} \rangle$ 

 $< 0 | e^{T} \neq SD | !!$ 

H and D are non hermitian operators and one has | SDR > and < SDL |

One can also avoid the second equation by:

1) rewriting the Lorentz kernel as a difference *(instead of a product)* 

 $\left[ (E_{\gamma} - \omega_{0})^{2} + \Gamma^{2} \right]^{-1} = (2 \iota \Gamma)^{-1} \left\{ (E_{\gamma} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma)^{-1} - (E_{\gamma} - \omega_{0} + \iota \Gamma)^{-1} \right\}$ 

One can also avoid the second equation by:

1) rewriting the Lorentz kernel as a difference *(instead of a product)* 

$$\begin{split} [(E_{\gamma} - \omega_0)^2 + \Gamma^2]^{-1} &= (2 \iota \Gamma)^{-1} \{ (E_{\gamma} - \omega_0 - \iota \Gamma)^{-1} - (E_{\gamma} - \omega_0 + \iota \Gamma)^{-1} \} \\ \mathbf{L} (\omega_0, \Gamma) &= < \mathsf{SDL} | \overline{\mathsf{D}} [ \overline{\mathsf{H}} - E_0 - \omega_0 + i \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{\mathsf{D}} | \mathsf{SDR} > - \\ &\leq \mathsf{SDL} | \overline{\mathsf{D}} [ \overline{\mathsf{H}} - E_0 - \omega_0 - i \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{\mathsf{D}} | \mathsf{SDR} > \end{split}$$

One can also avoid the second equation by:

1) rewriting the Lorentz kernel as a difference *(instead of a product)* 

 $[(E_{\gamma} - \omega_{0})^{2} + \Gamma^{2}]^{-1} = (2 \iota \Gamma)^{-1} \{ (E_{\gamma} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma)^{-1} - (E_{\gamma} - \omega_{0} + \iota \Gamma)^{-1} \}$   $L(\omega_{0}, \Gamma) = \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} [ \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} + \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDR} - \iota \Gamma | \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDL} | \overline{D} ( \overline{H} - E_{0} - \omega_{0} - \iota \Gamma]^{-1} \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDR} - \iota \Gamma | \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDR} - \iota \Gamma | \overline{D} | \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDR} - \iota \Gamma | \overline{D} | \overline{D} | \text{SDR} > - \langle \text{SDR} - \iota \Gamma | \overline{D} | \overline{D}$ 

2) use the **non** hermiitian **Lanczos** algorithm to rewrite as a continuous fraction

#### results

# We have applied the CC-LIT method to <sup>16</sup>O GDR using $D = \sum_{i} z_{i} \tau_{i}^{3}$ and NN forces from EFT (N3LO)

S. Bacca, N. Barnea, G. Hagen, G.O., T. Papenbrock First principles description of the giant dipole resonance in <sup>16</sup>O arXiv:1303.7446 [nucl-th] to appear on PRL

#### First we have validated the method on <sup>4</sup>He

#### First we have validated the method on <sup>4</sup>He



#### Good agreement !



#### <sup>16</sup>O: Convergence of the LIT



**Good convergence!** 

### <sup>16</sup>O: H.O. parameter dependence



Good convergence!

Small HO dependence: use it as error bar!



G. Orlandini – The 22nd European Conference on Few-Body Problems in Physics, Krakow 9 - 13 September 2013

# Comparison between the Exp. and Theor. LIT's



The width of the GDR is around 6 MeV Our width is 10 MeV We can try to invert the LIT

# Comparison between the Exp. and Theor. LIT's





# Conclusions

- The coupling of LIT and CC methods is a viable way towards ab initio explorations of collective phenomena like "giant resonances"
- The position of the GDR of <sup>16</sup>O is reproduced correctly by a *realistic* NN potential

# Perspectives

Study effects of NNN

. . . .

Other *Giant* resonances (monopole, quadrupole...
Other nuclei

#### A lot of work to build a bridge between few-body and "many-body" physics