

# Four-body Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations using two-cluster RGM kernels – Applications to $4N$ , $4d'$ and $4\alpha$ systems –

Yoshikazu Fujiwara

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

The semi-microscopic treatment of four-cluster systems using two-cluster resonating-group kernels has many applications. For example, a naive three-quark structure of the nucleon allows us to deal with the nucleon-nucleon ( $NN$ ) interaction in the microscopic framework of the  $(3q)$ - $(3q)$  resonating-group method (RGM). The model fss2 [1] is constructed to reproduce all the experimental data for the  $NN$  interaction, and available low-energy hyperon-nucleon cross sections as well. It has an accuracy comparable to the modern meson-exchange potentials, such as AV18, CD-Bonn, and Nijmegen potentials. We have applied fss2 to many systems, not only to the triton ( ${}^3\text{H}$ ) and the hypertriton [2] ( ${}^3_{\Lambda}\text{H}$ ) but also to  $3\alpha$  ( ${}^{12}\text{C}$ ),  $2\alpha + n$  ( ${}^9\text{Be}$ ),  $2\alpha + \Lambda$  ( ${}^9_{\Lambda}\text{Be}$ ),  $\alpha + 2n$  ( ${}^6\text{He}$ ), and  $\alpha + 2\Lambda$  ( ${}^6_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{He}$ ) systems [3], in which effective  $NN$  and  $\Lambda N$  forces are employed. Recently, the present framework has been applied to the  $nd$  and  $pd$  scattering using the  $NN$  sector of fss2 [4]. Here, we extend these calculations to the  $4N$  bound state ( $\alpha$  ground state) and  $4d'$  ( $d'$  is the spin 0 quasi-deuteron neglecting the isospin degree of freedom) and  $4\alpha$  ( ${}^{16}\text{O}$  ground state) systems.

For  $4N$  calculation, our computer code is checked for AV8' to reproduce the results of the benchmark calculations [5]. Table 1 compares our predictions with those by the Niigata group [6] calculated in the stochastic variational method (SVM). Table 1 also shows the result of fss2 without the Coulomb force. The angular-momentum truncation is made with  $\ell_{12} + \ell_3 + \ell_4$ ,  $\ell_{12} + \ell_{34} + \ell \leq \ell_{\text{sum}}^{\text{max}}$ , according to the suggestion in Ref. [7]. We find that the Coulomb effect in the ground state of  $\alpha$  is about 0.8 MeV. Together with the charge dependence of the  $2N$  force,  $0.2 \text{ MeV} \times 2 = 0.4 \text{ MeV}$ , our  $\alpha$  energy  $E_{\alpha} = -28.0 \text{ MeV}$  for fss2 leads to the final result that still 1.5 MeV is missing for the experimental value  $-28.3 \text{ MeV}$ . Since modern meson-exchange potentials miss about 3 - 4 MeV if only  $2N$  interactions are employed [8], our result implies that almost half of 3 - 4 MeV is attributed to the off-shell effect of our non-local  $NN$  interaction fss2. This is in accordance with our previous result for the triton binding energy, in which fss2 misses about 350 keV [2] in comparison with the 0.5 - 1 MeV by the standard meson-exchange potentials [8]. The attractive off-shell effect by fss2 is also seen in the spin doublet  $nd$  scattering length and the  ${}^2S_{1/2}$  low-energy phase shift [4].

For the  $4d'$  and  $4\alpha$  systems, we have to deal with the Faddeev redundant components related to the pairwise Pauli forbidden states (FBS) existing between two clusters. We have one ( $0s$ ) FBS for  $2d'$  and three ( $0s$ ), ( $1s$ ), and ( $0d$ ) FBS's for  $2\alpha$  subsystems. RGM  $T$ -matrix is devised to take into account the pairwise orthogonality in the 4-boson systems. The structure of the Faddeev redundant components is rather involved in the 4-boson systems. Our results for  $4d'$  and  $4\alpha$  calculations are compared with the variational calculation using the harmonic-oscillator basis. The results are not fully converged yet, but the agreement of two entirely different approaches is almost achieved. Salient features are: 1) The Wigner's supermultiplet scheme gives particularly large binding energies to the 4-boson systems,

owing to the special situation of the  $SU_3$  scheme  $[(20)(20)](02), (20) : (00)$  for  $4d'$  and  $[(40)(40)](04), (40) : (00)$  for  $4\alpha$ . 2) A simple effective force like the Volkov No.2 force is not capable to reproduce the  $\alpha\alpha$  phase shift,  $3\alpha$  and  $4\alpha$  binding energies simultaneously. If we use the effective force which reproduces  $3\alpha$  ground state, the  $4\alpha$  system is largely overbound and too compact rms radius is obtained for the  $^{16}\text{O}$  ground state. This is a well-known fact that, if we determine the force parameters to reproduce the  $\alpha$  and  $^{16}\text{O}$  binding energies, the binding energy of  $^{12}\text{C}$  is largely underestimated [9]. We need more sophisticated effective interactions to cope with the binding energies and the sizes of the  $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ , and  $4\alpha$  systems consistently.

Table 1:  $\alpha$  binding energies ( $E_B$ ) and rms radii ( $R_\alpha$ ) by AV8' and fss2. The Coulomb force is not included. Mesh point parameters are  $1.2\text{-}3\text{-}16 \text{ fm}^{-1}$  and  $n_1\text{-}n_2\text{-}n_3=10\text{-}10\text{-}5$ . The angular-momentum truncation is  $\ell_{12} + \ell_3 + \ell_4$ ,  $\ell_{12} + \ell_{34} + \ell \leq \ell_{\text{sum}}^{\text{max}}$ .

$\ell_{\text{sum}}^{\text{max}}$	AV8'			fss2		
	$E_B(\text{MeV})$	K.E. (MeV)	$R_\alpha$ (fm)	$E_B(\text{MeV})$	K.E. (MeV)	$R_\alpha$ (fm)
2	-21.46	83.10	1.607	-24.73	76.29	1.498
4	-24.88	97.32	1.512	-27.32	85.46	1.443
6	-25.53	100.94	1.493	-27.76	87.64	1.433
8	-25.90	102.38	1.485	-27.92	88.18	1.430
10	-25.95	102.67	1.483	-27.95	88.31	1.429
SVM	-25.92	102.35	1.486		exp.	1.457(4)

- [1] Y. Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki and C. Nakamoto, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **58** (2007), 439
- [2] Y. Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki, M. Kohno and K. Miyagawa, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 027001 (2008)
- [3] Y. Fujiwara, K. Miyagawa, M. Kohno, Y. Suzuki, D. Baye and J.-M. Sparenberg, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 024002 (2004); M. Theeten, H. Matsumura, M. Orabi, D. Baye, P. Descouvemont, Y. Fujiwara and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 054003 (2007)
- [4] Y. Fujiwara and K. Fukukawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **124** (2010), 433; **125** (2011), 979; **128** (2012), 301; K. Fukukawa and Y. Fujiwara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **125** (2011), 729; **125** (2011), 957
- [5] H. Kamada et al., Phys Rev C **64**, 044001 (2001)
- [6] Y. Suzuki, W. Horiuchi, M. Orabi and K. Arai, Few-Body Systems **42** (2008), 33
- [7] A. Nogga, Ph.D. thesis, University of Bochum, 2001
- [8] A. Nogga, H. Kamada and W. Glöckle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 944 (2000)
- [9] D. M. Brink and E. Boeker, Nucl. Phys. **A91** (1967), 1

E-mail: yfujiwar@scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp